

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It  
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

### Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** KS-502 - Wichita/Sedgwick County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** United Way of the Plains

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** United Way of the Plains

## 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>1B-1.</b>	<b>Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.</b>	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	No	No	No
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	No	No	No
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	No	No
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	No	No	No
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Workforce Alliance (Workforce Development & Training)	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.				

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC website has an open invitation with information on how to join the CoC by contacting the Collaborative Applicant (CA). All meetings are open to the public, with the calendar & agendas posted regularly & via email listserv. The annual meeting held in December is the largest public invitation event where the public joins the conversation on ending homelessness in Wichita/Sedgwick County. Current CoC members invite others who are not involved in the CoC to learn more about what the CoC does. Year-round, the CA meets with persons & agencies interested in the vision of the CoC to make homelessness rare, brief, & nonrecurring for all persons & invites them to CoC meetings &/or participate in CE. As the CA, United Way of the Plains (UWP) regularly, in annual & quarterly reports, shares information on CoC performance with the whole community & shares information about the CoC to outside via regular conference attendance. For 2023 & with more funds provided through the CoC planning grant awarded in FY21, the CoC will increase its outreach efforts by working with the Alliance of Overlooked Neighbors (AON), a CoC Committee ran by PWLE, to recruit more PWLE & currently experiencing homelessness to join the CoC & CoC Committees. 2) All CoC-related information is posted digitally on the main CoC webpage, with all uploaded materials shared as PDFs that are translatable & readable with most text-to-speech software. Meeting recordings & any physical handouts are available on request & shared via an email listserv to the full CoC after each meeting. 3) The CA has made intentional outreach to BIPOC & LGBTQ+ communities & persons with disabilities, which includes targeted grant opportunities for agencies serving those communities. Starting in 2023, with increased planning fund dollars awarded in FY21, the CoC will establish a Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion (DEI) Committee. This Committee will: A) update the DEI statement of the CoC, B) coordinate training for all CoC partners to increase cultural awareness & further DEI in housing work, C) invite agencies ran by & serving underserved communities, especially the LGBTQ+, BIPOC, & disabled communities, to improve the CoC's mission to ensure homelessness is rare, brief, & nonrecurring for all persons.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC solicits opinions through workgroups, committees, & public monthly planning meetings. Each Workgroup, Committee, & CoC meeting is open to any community member, even those not a member of the CoC. Each Workgroup & Committee asks for input from the community in enhancing the CoC through meetings, listening sessions, & digital surveys. In addition to service providers, the CoC actively solicits businesses, non-homeless nonprofits, government entities, PWLE, & community members interested in ending homelessness for feedback on system performance & operations. Community feedback has led to initiatives like expanding the role of the Ranking & Review Committee & updating the HMIS Data Security, Privacy, & Quality Plan. The CoC in its entirety is regularly surveyed for feedback on CoC Policy & Procedure revisions. 2) When the CoC Board considers policy changes that affect the full CoC, such as governance updates, the CoC is alerted via email listserv & at monthly CoC meetings the deadlines to give feedback & the format required of feedback. Additionally, CoC representatives advocate on behalf of the CoC in public forums, such as City Council meetings & Board of County Commissioners & take feedback from those sessions back to the CoC via the monthly CoC meeting or to the CoC Advisory Board. 3) In 2022, public information informed three major changes to improve the CoC system. The first change was an overhaul of the CE Policies & Procedures, including the changing of CE priority, to ensure housing is accessible to the most vulnerable unhoused persons first. The second change established a committee run by PWLE to provide feedback & oversight of the CoC with funding from the CoC Planning Budget to increase outreach activities for persons currently homeless or with lived experience. This change also included adding two new PWLE to the CoC board & paying all PWLE on the board a stipend for their time & expertise. The final change established a committee to guide & provide oversight on the CoC's Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion statement. This committee is also responsible for ensuring the CoC's policies & procedures are equitable & supplies new leadership opportunities for persons to represent underserved populations in the CoC.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC notified the membership of the local competition on August 9 during the monthly community wide CoC meeting. Via the CoC email listserv, the CoC communicated that the local application process would begin August 15 with a Proposer's Workshop for those interested in writing for the CoC grant; the CoC announced the Proposer's Workshop on the CA's website on 8/11 & via Twitter & LinkedIn. The full calendar, call for applications, & recording of the Proposer's Workshop was posted on the CoC website on 8/17 alongside the deadline for applications. During each of these announcements, eligible applicant types were listed & new applicants were encouraged to apply. The CA offered additional support & data for both new & renewal applications. 2) Project applicants were alerted during the Proposer's Workshop, which was available in person, online, & as a recording, that applications should be submitted via eSNAPS by 8/31 at 5pm CST to be considered for ranking & review. An additional requirement to this year's application was statements of the agency's commitment to improving project equity & a report of the agency's current efforts to include PWLE, BIPOC, & members of the LGBTQ+ community in their work to end homelessness. For agencies that had not previously used eSNAPS to apply, the CA offered technical assistance for how to use the application software. 3) During the Proposer's Workshop, the CoC notified potential applicants of both HUD & CoC priorities for funding. During the workshop & on the UWP website, applicants were alerted that a panel of community representatives from non-applying groups, including PWLE, businesses, homeless & non-homeless nonprofits would be reviewing & scoring both new & renewal applications; the group would also make final determinations of accepting or denying projects, reducing projects, & reallocation. Any project that exceeded the available PPRN at the bottom of the ranking would be rejected & projects that straddled may have their funding request reduced. The rating criteria used to review projects was available to applicants via the UWP website on 8/29. 4) The CoC posted all application materials, including the calendar, Proposer's Workshop materials, a recording of the Proposer's Workshop, the breakdown of scoring criteria, & links to the HUD Competition on the UWP website. The CoC shared all files as PDFs that are translatable & readable with most text-to-speech software.

## 1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	No
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	No
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	



18.	PWLE Organization (Alliance of Overlooked Neighbors)	Yes
-----	--	-----

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Wichita Housing Authority (WHA) & Kansas Housing Resource Corporation (KHRC) sought input from the community & CoC on the 5-Year Consolidated Plan & annual updates which sets homelessness as a priority for funding. WHA solicits input from the CoC on setting annual ESG allocation budget priorities, supplemental adjustments, data collection & ESG reporting administration. A CoC ESG Workgroup reviews proposals & makes ESG funding recommendations. All ESG funded sub-recipients participate in the CE process. The CoC ESG Workgroup presents recommendations to the WHA's Grant Review Committee (GRC) for final decision making; the CoC is represented on the WHA GCR. WHA received CoC input about ESG-CV funds, which created three new CM positions, dedicated to serving high-risk unhoused persons. To date, the program has housed, & stabilized eighty high-need, high-risk persons. ESG-CV funds have also been used to expand an existing DV shelter which anticipates creating sixty new non-congregate DV shelter beds. ESG-CV funds were used in January & February 2022 to create a temporary COVID-19 isolation shelter for unhoused persons testing positive to keep larger, congregate shelters open. Finally, the CA used the funds to create a vaccine incentive program in partnership with the Sedgwick County Health Department (SCHD) from February 2022 through May 2022 that resulted in 207 unduplicated unhoused persons receiving COVID-19 vaccinations. The program vaccinated 114 persons first time & distributed 227 total vaccinations. 2) The CoC consults with WHA on HMIS CAPER data quality. WHA has standardized ESG program outcomes & requires monthly reporting by sub-recipients. Monthly outcome data allows for better monitoring, resulting in better data quality, bed utilization oversight, & efficient/accurate annual reporting. ESG aligns with CE protocols verifying the most vulnerable are served. Reports must have less than a 25% error rate or be corrected. The HMIS Workgroup meets quarterly to monitor ESG outcomes & HMIS data quality. 3) The CoC provides PIT & HIC data electronically annually. 4) The City's Consolidated Plan & CoC's Annual Plan complement each other as both seek to identify permanent solutions to homelessness. The CoC also participates in the development of the State's Consolidated Plan through attendance at public hearing meetings & draft comments.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC collaborates with early childhood (EC) educators, such as Head Start & nonprofit early learning centers to ensure children 0–5 years have the EC educational resources for school readiness/long-term academic success. CoC collaborates with educators to transition students from secondary education to employment, job training or post-secondary education. SEA Liaison provided input in CoC coordination/planning for suburban districts. CoC & McKinney-Vento (MV) staff at USD 259 (LEA) collaborate to: A) Keep CoC providers updated on any changes in the MV regulations to maintain compliance, B) MV staff verify homeless status for families needing documentation of homelessness for PH project eligibility, C) Ongoing communication ensures transportation is available for unaccompanied students/families who are precariously housed or are in transition between shelters, D) MV staff attend CoC meetings to engage CoC members in LEA activities to support homeless children/families, such as annual resource fairs, E) MV partners with WCH’s homeless services to act as liaison for shelter & housing resources for unaccompanied & homeless youth. Cross system referrals enhance working relationships & help identify gaps in services. CoC & LEA, working as part of community-wide initiative, strive to meet the needs of youth through programs such as providing meals when the school district does not provide lunches. The CoC collaborates with the school district to ensure children have access to school supplies. The CoC lead agency has an MOU with the local school district.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.
--

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

CoC has established standard operating procedures to ensure that CoC Programs operate in accordance with the provisions of the MV Act, allowing children’s educational needs to be met. Each ESG & CoC project has a staff member assigned to ensure that children are enrolled in school & connected to appropriate services in the community, including Head Start. This is monitored annually during site visits to ensure that each project continues to have a staff person assigned to this role & trained to coordinate with MV staff. MV strives to normalize the school experience for children by providing school materials, clothes, field trip expenses, transportation, special event items (such as graduate cap/gown), prom attire & senior photos. CoC’s MV policy requires ES providers to: A) Assign a primary contact for the MV office, B) Notify the MV office within 24 hours when a family enters ES, C) Obtain a release of information for each family to coordinate services with MV staff, D) Coordinate with the MV office to make sure child(ren) receive services, such as Free & Reduced lunch program, E) Review transportation rules/policies with parents, F) Notify MV office within 24 hours if a family is no longer at ES, G) Inform parents that misuse of transportation will result in termination of service, & H) Provide information about MV services offered for children/families after they leave the shelter, such as family engagement/enrichment activities & a fall resource fair. CoC collaborative procedures with MV include working with MV program which holds biannual meetings with ES serving children. MV staff attend CoC meetings & forums. ES that serve homeless children sign an annual agreement with MV & inform parents/students of their eligibility for ongoing educational services. If a literally homeless or unaccompanied youth is identified in the school by MV staff, the Wichita Children’s Home Street Outreach (WCH OR) is contracted. WCH meets with the student at school to identify needs & connect them to appropriate resources such as shelter. When possible, WCH will assist the student in reconnecting with family. Each CoC-funded housing project operates on a "Housing Choice" model, where participants can choose where they live. While CoC staff can help families find housing near their children's school of origin, families choose their housing.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	Yes	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	Yes	No
8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	No

9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:	
	1. update CoC-wide policies; and	
	2. ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC collaborates with local organizations that provide housing & services to DV survivors. Harbor House & Wichita Family Crisis Center are two of the DV victim service providers (VSPs) in the CoC-covered area & both are members of the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual & Domestic Violence (KCS DV). All DV ES independently gather feedback from all participants at exit. This feedback informs project performance & VSP staff bring the feedback to the CoC via the CE Workgroup, the general CoC meetings, or directly to the CoC Advisory Board to update CoC policies. In 2022, a VSP sat on the CE Workgroup & identified that survivors of DV did not have the same access to CE & housing resources as the other categories of homelessness; the CE Workgroup responded by creating a faster process for VSPs to enroll survivors in CE while prioritizing safety & privacy. The CE Workgroup noted survivor households take the longest to be prioritized for housing; the CE Workgroup determined that survivor households should be prioritized for housing because of the safety risks involved with fleeing DV without stable housing. The WHA has MOUs with each VSP in the CoC to provide EHV's & Homeless Preference Housing Choice Vouchers (HPP) to survivors & rapidly house them. 2) KCS DV provides state-wide training for any providers with DV survivors. Accredited DV service providers are required to complete annual DV related training including Trauma-Informed Care (TIC). DV & non-DV providers collaborate to ensure front line staff are knowledgeable & skilled in DV interventions that will meet the needs of survivors. These DV providers also receive federal funds to provide training to non-agency staff, including law enforcement & other professionals. In FY22, VSPs facilitated 22 trainings for 477 professionals & 102 community presentations for 1,426 individuals. The Wichita/Sedgwick County Coordinated Community Response Team (CCR) has monthly meetings & collaborates with partners to create systems-level changes throughout our community to promote a responsive & effective legal system which ensures victim safety & offender accountability. The CCR includes the City of Wichita Law Department, Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office, Wichita Police Department (WPD), Sedgwick County District Attorney's Office, four VSPs, Kansas Department of Corrections, & three Forensic Nursing Departments.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:	
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) KCSDV provides state-wide training for any provider serving DV survivors. Accredited DV service providers are required to complete annual DV related training including TIC. DV & non-DV providers collaborate to ensure front line staff are knowledgeable & skilled in DV interventions. These DV providers also receive federal funds to provide training to non-agency staff, including law enforcement & other professionals. In FY22, VSPs facilitated 22 trainings for 477 professionals & 102 community presentations for 1,426 individuals. Wichita/Sedgwick County Coordinated Community Response Team (CCR) has monthly meetings & collaborates with partners to create systems-level changes throughout our community to promote a responsive & effective legal system which ensures victim safety & offender accountability. The CCR includes the City of Wichita Law Department, Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office, WPD, Sedgwick County District Attorney's Office, four VSPs, Kansas Department of Corrections, & three Forensic Nursing Departments. 2) DV providers offer training to staff of CoC agencies at least annually & more often as needed. Program directors provide 1-on-1 consultation as requested. Annually in October during DV Awareness Month, DV providers offer DV training & awareness sessions for the community. Beginning in 2021, local Law Enforcement Officers (LEO) received mandatory training in DV. Non-DV providers connect survivors to DV providers for safety planning/advocacy & ES when appropriate.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In the CoC, there are four VSPs. Two VSPs receive ESG funding & use different comparable databases, Osniium & ClientTrack. Both providers generate deidentified, disaggregate data separate from the Wichita/Sedgwick County HMIS for ESG reporting & reporting to the CoC on the number & needs of survivors. The third VSP does not use a database but tracks de-identified participant data. The fourth VSP is a Sexual Assault Center & does not provide homeless services or data to the CoC. All homeless-serving VSPs in the CoC participate in the PIT Count by generating a report of the number of persons utilizing their ES & TH beds on the night of the count & provide that data when needed for system planning. DV data, submitted monthly, allows WHA & the CoC to track occupancy, basic demographics, & barriers to service (mental health, substance use, etc.) in order to align resources during the year rather than at the end of the grant year. The full homeless service system through HMIS collects responses from participants on if they experienced DV or if DV lead to their homelessness & includes those responses in their assessment. 2) The CE Workgroup used this data, alongside the rest of the system data, to determine that survivors were not having equitable access to housing services. While survivors were able to access VSP services & shelter via a hotline, survivors did not have fast access to housing, a necessary component of long-term safety & stability for survivor households. The CE Workgroup responded by creating a process for VSPs to enroll survivors in CE while prioritizing safety & privacy. Also, part of that discussion was that survivor households can take the longest to be prioritized for housing; the CE Workgroup determined that survivor households should be prioritized for housing because of the safety risks involved with fleeing DV without stable housing. The WHA entered MOUs with VSP to ensure survivors had access to EHV's & HPPs with priority over other unhoused populations.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
	1. the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
	2. the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Each CoC project has CoC-wide policies & procedures in place regarding the emergency transfer plan (ETP) & is required to inform participants of the ETP at intake, regardless of known survivor status. Project staff inform participants they will not be responsible for paying the cost of breaking the lease if they need to use ETP. Additionally, participants & CoC staff are informed of VAWA statutes regarding housing. If a household experiences DV, they must request the transfer & the participant, or an advocate must provide a statement regarding why they are requesting the emergency transfer: A) belief of imminent harm from further violence or B) threat or occurrence of sexual violence that happened in the assisted housing unit within 90 days of the request. If the participant requests an ETP, they will be offered supportive services through a MOU VSP partner; the household does not need to participate in VSP services to participate in the ETP. If a household receiving TBRA needs to separate, the Head of Household (HoH) has the right to stay in the unit & continue to receive assistance through the project. If a household chooses to stay or leave a project, both households are assisted through the same or similar project (i.e., RRH to RRH or PSH to PSH) to find a new unit & continue to receive assistance as two new households. If a new unit or project opening is not immediately available, the new household made by the family separation is prioritized above all other households in CE for the next available housing resource. The person seeking an ETP may request an external emergency transfer to a partner CoC, the Kansas Balance of State CoC, for a unit they have immediately available in addition to an internal emergency transfer. 2) A household can make an ETP request by completing an emergency transfer request packet with project staff. When the project immediately transfers the separating household to an appropriate housing unit, participant identity remains restricted to project staff relocating the household. If there is not an immediate opening, the project staff will give the ETP request to the CE Lead to prioritize the household &, if requested, seek an external housing unit with a partner CoC. A separate, deidentified HMIS profile may be created to further protect the household's safety in accordance with the HMIS-DV policy. Once a housing unit is identified, the household is rapidly moved into the housing unit.

&nbsp;

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)



CoC CE protocols incorporate the DV/Mental Health/Veteran hotlines, 2-1-1 & WPD to provide 24-hour access to DV services. LEOs use a dedicated phone line to directly access DV shelters to expedite response in emergency situations. All calls are screened for lethality & imminent danger to determine next steps. If ES are full, shelters may arrange for a hotel, connection to outreach advocates, or other safe & accredited DV specific services outside of the area until space becomes available. ES prioritizes victims that are in immediate danger, offer safety planning & crisis intervention as first steps. Victims not in imminent danger, but seeking help to flee a violent relationship, connect to outreach advocates that provide DV/SA specific housing & other resource programs, as well as non-DV options. DV dedicated ES/TH/services assist with safe, confidential, TIC & victim centered planning. The CoC policy prioritizes safety & access to housing/services for persons experiencing DV, including transferring to other communities via the ETP. After a household has been identified, regardless of if they are in shelter or receiving out of shelter services, they are enrolled in CE under a household identifying number; only the VSP knows the identity of the household. After enrollment, all survivors follow the same priority scheme to access housing. This ensures that all survivors have access to the CoC housing services they are entitled to. To further ensure that DV survivors have access to housing & CoC services, the HMIS Lead implemented a new role, the DV Housing Navigator. The DV Housing Navigator works with VSPs & the CE Lead to ensure that the CE processes are safe & are being relayed to VSPs & DV survivors.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:	
1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Households fleeing DV & requesting help via DV, Mental Health, & Veteran hotlines, 2-1-1, or through LEO assistance are connected with 24-hour access to DV services to assess the family for lethality & immediate danger. The DV hotline develops a safety plan with the household, which may involve bringing the family into emergency shelter, paying for a hotel, connection to an outreach advocate, or transfer to other safe & accredited DV services outside of the CoC. Regardless of the safety plan, the VSP enrolls the household in CE. The safety plan helps inform appropriate housing project placement & location. 2) In addition to lethality assessments, VSPs assess households with the standardized CE assessment, including a VI-SDPAT, basic demographic information, & barriers to housing assessments. These assessments, including the household's safety plan, inform their CE prioritization for services. The DV Housing Navigator partners with the household's advocate to discuss the household's housing plan, including accessing CoC or PHA housing. When a housing program opens for the household, they are offered the housing resource. All households, regardless of victimization status, are informed of the ETP & how to utilize it. 3) VSPs store all data regarding households in a comparable database that is restricted to the VSP entering data & the DV Housing Navigator. The participant is enrolled in CE as an anonymous participant with no identifying information; if a participant is referred to a housing program tracked in HMIS, an anonymous record is created for the household that has no identifying information. A release is signed between the household & the housing project to share information & work with the participant to find housing that complies with the participant's safety plan.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy through stakeholder feedback; in 2022, this led to the establishment of the DEI Committee. This Committee solicits feedback from underserved populations to ensure the CoC anti-discrimination policy is comprehensive & followed by the CoC. 2) The CoC, during annual site monitoring, met with each project to see each agency's current anti-discrimination policy. All agencies have a comprehensive policy that aligns with the CoC's policy. The DEI Committee is responsible for creating a new, more comprehensive policy & will collaborate with providers to implement it at the project level. The CoC partnered with the KS Balance of State CoC to offer mandatory training to CoC & ESG funded projects in the CoC that covered anti-discrimination, family separation, & equal access to LGBTQ+ households. 3) The CoC's current process for evaluating compliance is to conduct annual monitoring of each project to confirm they have anti-discrimination policies in place. Complaints made by participants regarding discrimination are sent to the CA & the CoC Advisory Board. The newly formed DEI Committee is responsible for reviewing policies for evaluating compliance with the CoC's anti-discrimination policies, evaluating compliance, & enforcement of those policies. 4) All complaints regarding CoC program noncompliance related to anti-discrimination policies are to be sent to the CA & the CoC Advisory Board. Complaints that warrant a fair housing violation would be turned over to HUD via a Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity Complaint. Any person who makes a complaint is still eligible for services & would not be retaliated against, however, they may be transferred to a similar project to ensure they maintain housing stability.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Wichita Housing Authority	4%	Yes-HCV	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In January 2018, the Sedgwick County Housing Authority transferred the County's Housing Choice Voucher program to the WHA, resulting in only one PHA in the geographic area. CoC advocated successfully with the WHA for a 10% homeless admission preference set aside has been established. A BNL is shared with the WHA monthly to identify homeless participants & assign them Homeless Preference Housing Choice vouchers (HPPs).

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
		No

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--------	--	--

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program. Not Scored—For Information Only	
----------	---	--

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

<b>PHA</b>
Wichita Housing A...

## 1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

**Name of PHA:** Wichita Housing Authority

## 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	10
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	9
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	90%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Each CoC funded provider commits to having written policies/procedures in place to ensure that CoC funded PH projects have the least number of barriers possible for acceptance/termination into/out of housing & services. Annually & as needed, the lead agency performs site monitoring & ensures that programs are committed to HF. During the CoC grant application process, HF is explained to all new & renewal projects. Renewal projects that check HF on their applications are screened for HF via the Ranking & Review process by scoring how the project complied with HF principles in the household types served. 2) During annual site monitoring, the auditor reviews project's HF policies to ensure they include serving persons with no/very low income, history of victimization, active or history of substance abuse & having a criminal record. The policy must specify participants will not be terminated for failure to participate in supportive services, make progress on a service plan, maintain/increase income &/or to participate in activities not outlined in the lease agreement. During annual & as needed site monitoring, the auditor randomly samples accepted & denied participant records to ensure compliance with Housing First. Any reasons for exits that break HF termination policy results in a lower site monitoring score & statement from the provider that they recommit to HF. The CE Housing Navigator ensures all HF projects accept the next prioritized participant on the BNL; a project cannot deny a household unless the household is not eligible for assistance under Federal, State, or local laws. During the Ranking & Review process, the CoC ensures that households are following HF by making these performance indicators part of the scoring process: participants living situation at entry, 90% or more of participants would have no or very low income at entry, & most participants are CH &/or have a disabling condition(s). 3) The CE Lead regularly evaluates projects through CE & semimonthly Case Conferencing to ensure projects are accepting participants on a HF basis. Annually, the CoC staff monitors projects to ensure that they have written policies for HF standards & checks participant records to ensure that projects are following HF policies at participant exit.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;	
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;	
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)



1) CoC street outreach (OR) is conducted by five agencies to identify persons who are unsheltered by going into the community & to special events (i.e., annual PIT count, community events, concerts, etc.). OR engages individuals in hard-to-reach locations (under bridges/campsites), to build trust & acceptance in the homeless network by distributing water, personal care items, blankets, & food. Relationships established by OR allow connections to be more easily made with CE & services. OR can also provide transportation through bus vouchers to help connect a person with resources in the area. OR works to remove barriers for unhoused persons & reduce fear of engaging in services. OR engages local businesses so they know who to call when they encounter a person they believe to be homeless. OR publicizes & provides Safe Place services at designated neighborhood businesses. These services are accessible by phone, text & at various walk-in locations within the community. WPD's Homeless Outreach Team (HOT) is comprised of specially trained LEO who respond to calls involving homeless to direct them to CE. ICT Street Team provides medical care & access to prescribed medications for persons who are unsheltered. 2) OR covers 100% of CoC's geographical area, relying on suburban school district MV, churches, businesses, 211, LEO, & OR visits to hot spots where homeless persons are known to congregate in outlying areas. 3) The majority of the street outreach providers conduct OR daily. 4) Persons who are least likely to request &/or have ability to access resources are assisted by PATH (Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness), specially trained OR workers who engage persons with physical, mental & behavioral health needs. Some OR staff are bilingual &/or access interpreters. PATH OR staff is SOAR-trained & assists with the disability & Medicaid application process.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	No
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	No
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	No
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	No
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing-RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.I.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of “Current.”	167	134

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC’s geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC’s geographic area;
- works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
- works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC schedules a diverse group of providers & programs to speak at CoC meetings to offer updates & train on mainstream resources. Specific training in SOAR, SSI, TANF, Food Stamps, & Substance Abuse programs is provided at least annually during monthly CoC meetings. The CoC & CoC providers have MOUs with mainstream resource providers. The CoC also disseminates regular electronic communications from Mainstream benefit providers regarding accessing & assisting program participants with applications. 2) The CoC has staff from the two Federally Qualified Health Centers, State Medicaid insurers, SAMHSA-funded mental health clinics, two low-income health centers, & two nonprofit substance abuse clinics present to the CoC & project staff on how participants can access medical services. The CoC also works closely with the two Healthcare for the Homeless grantees by having weekly health clinics available at all the day shelters & ES. Information about healthcare events, including vaccination & free health clinics offered in the community is sent to project staff via listserv. The CoC continues to partner with the ICT Street Team, a team of medical volunteers serving uninsured homeless participants & refers homeless participants to mainstream resources. 3) Local healthcare non-profits, which include Healthcare for the Homeless grantees, partner with the CoC to provide on-site nursing staff, which have dedicated programs to enroll participants in health insurance & health care services. Local mental health centers have SOAR-trained specialists connecting community residents to mainstream resources. Kansas continues to opt out of becoming a Medicaid-expansive state limiting coverage of homeless & low-income individuals & reducing referral success; however, when program participants are eligible for Medicaid & other benefits, CMs, MOU healthcare partners, & local hospitals help participants use Medicaid & other benefits effectively. Six CoC providers have SOAR-trained staff & one is the State's SOAR Lead.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC has gained increased funding for one new non-congregate shelter through a DV provider, Wichita Family Crisis Center, through ESG-CV funds. This will add sixty new non-congregate DV beds to the community. The SCHD operated a Hotel Isolation Program through the end of March 2022 which provided 15 non-congregate beds for unhoused persons who tested positive for COVID-19. As part of the local HOME-ARP allocation planning process, the CoC has advocated to the two PHAs that cover Sedgwick County, the KHRC & the WHA, for increased non-congregate shelter beds & increased affordable housing, including updating existing shelters to provide non-congregate beds rather than congregate. Aside from these efforts to increase non-congregate shelters, the WHA with the CoC was one of the first in the country to fully utilize allocated EHV's, which reduced the need for 165 non-congregate shelter beds through rapid housing placement.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:		
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) As a result of the pandemic, the CoC improved readiness & created a plan for any future public health emergencies including: A) a weekly communication system relay positive cases & PPE inventory/needs, B) a plan for PPE distribution to all shelters & outreach providers, C) partnerships with community health organizations, like safety net clinics & the SCHED to provide education, testing, & vaccination amongst the homeless population & providers, & D) reorganized congregate shelter space to decrease close contact instances. During the height of the COVID-19 pandemic, the CoC met with the Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) & SCHED weekly to get updates & disseminate information to the unhoused population. As a result of that relationship, all shelters now have partnerships with KDHE to provide regular COVID-19 testing. The CoC continues to share information & post materials regarding vaccination & sanitation practices to avoid the spread of infectious diseases, including COVID-19, Flu, & monkeypox. The CoC is working with SCHED to develop community-wide guidelines for emergency operations during infectious disease outbreaks, including establishing points of contact & maintaining a supply of PPE supplies. 2) The SCHED worked directly with the CoC to provide up to date information on CDC guidelines for shelters & congregate settings. SCHED actively educates providers serving currently unhoused persons on how to prevent the spread of disease. SCHED provides mobile vaccination clinics, offering COVID, Flu & Hepatitis A/B vaccinations to the unhoused & those working for provider agencies.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:		
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC regularly solicits & disseminates updated health bulletins from SCHD, KDHE, CDC, & HUD to the CoC via listserv & provides updates at each monthly CoC meeting. CoC leadership works closely with KDHE, the state health agency, the Robert J. Dole VA Hospital, & SCHD to screen participant health to prevent the spread of infectious disease & provide vaccination clinics for COVID-19, the flu, HEP-A/B, & other diseases as needed. Each CoC agency has a partnership with one of the Healthcare for Homeless grant recipients &/or a FQHC to provide other medical care, including screening for TB & providing vaccines for homeless persons. The SCHD regularly attends & presents at CoC meetings. In 2022, the SCHD presented to the CoC 4 times on: A) a COVID-19 surge & isolating in congregate settings, B) changing SCHD policies regarding COVID-19 & increased, incentivized vaccination efforts, C) developing emergency planning at each a provider & emergency planning guidelines at the CoC level, & D) monkeypox vaccination, spread mitigation, & best practices to keep program participants safe. 2) The CoC relays new health guidance from KDHE, SCHD, HUD & other federal agencies regarding healthcare directly to the CoC via listserv & CoC meetings. If a health emergency at a CoC/ESG project occurs, projects have policies in place to contact the disease outbreak hotline operated by SCHD. After that contact & following SCHD guidance, the CoC/ESG project relays the outbreak information to the CoC via the CA & CoC Advisory Board, especially if it impacts the ability of the project to serve participants or if it changes their service delivery model. CoC will convene meetings with the proper health authorities & CoC, as necessary, in the event of a public health emergency.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC’s geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CE covers 100 percent CoC geographic area (Sedgwick County, KS). 2) All participants entering CE undergo a standardized assessment which informs their level of need. All providers use the same tool, VI-SPDAT 3, & universal HMIS assessments. These assessments gather: A) a participant's current or previous living situation & length of stay, B) the length of time they have been homeless, C) current sleeping location, D) disabling conditions, & E) risk of domestic violence. These questions determine the category & status of the participant's homelessness, such as literally homeless, at imminent risk of homelessness, & CH. All assessments are completed at initial interview with a household. The CE Lead trains all staff who enroll participants in CE on VI-SPDAT 3, homelessness documentation, & assessment standards. 3) Changes to the CE assessment are made by the CE Workgroup as needed. The CE Lead provides training on any updates made to the CE Policies & Procedures, including the Assessment phase of CE. Currently, the CE Workgroup updates the CE Policies & Procedures at least annually & periodically as homeless household demographics &/or housing resources change. PWLE are part of the CE Workgroup. In addition, PWLE that went through CE are encouraged & given the opportunity to provide feedback on their experience of CE to strengthen the process.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CE system reaches persons least likely to apply for homeless assistance by covering 100% of CoC’s geographical area through: MV, ES, day shelters, 211 hotline, LEO, & OR. These providers can directly or indirectly refer persons into CE. 211 is accessible via phone & online & has accessibility options for persons with disabilities & non-English speakers. HOT & WCH OR provide targeted outreach to persons facing homelessness because of sexual identity, orientation & DV. The CoC plans to increase outreach efforts through translating marketing material to the two most spoken non-English languages in the geographic area, Vietnamese & Spanish. 2) CE prioritizes households based on length of time homeless, & household type, in order of: A) unsheltered persons, B) CH, C) Veterans, D) DV Survivors, C) Youth, F) Families, & G) Singles, & participant need (VI-SDPAT score). 3) This priority scheme balances harm reduction & serving the most vulnerable participants first by reducing the length of time a household waits for housing after becoming homeless but still prioritizes vulnerable households. The CE Lead ensures participants are prioritized for housing in a timely & equitable manner by matching project openings to “next up” participants. They increase the efficiency of the CE process through: A) notifying the CE Workgroup of system bottlenecks that require policy changes, B) educating project staff of the CE process with monthly classes, & C) providing direct intervention through semimonthly Case Conferencing. A role of Case Conferencing is to defer to participant preferences in referral to housing projects; participants can deny a housing project referral. 4) The CE Workgroup uses provider & participant feedback to reduce burdens on persons using CE by modifying CE policies & procedures. In the last year, the CE Workgroup has reduced burdens on households using CE by: 1) using the VI-SPDAT version 3, which PWLE preferred over version 2, 2) simplifying the referral & intake process by consolidating the assessments required for referral & entry & ending intake interviews, 3) establishing validity of VI-SPDAT scores & assessments for one year, unless a significant life event occurs or a participant requests to be reassessed, 4) applying for more CE intake staff, including a mobile CE Access point, & 5) setting up a CE access point at a day shelter that previously did not have one.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/01/2021

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC’s process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
----	--

2. what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Advisory Board & Planning Workgroup uses the HUD-provided racial disparity assessment tool & Stella P data/LSA uploads. Participant racial, ethnic & gender data are examined against general & poverty population data as provided through the census. These groups use this data to set annual funding priorities & create the annual plan for improving CoC operations to create equity in the CoC housing outcomes. The DEI Committee & HMIS Lead are responsible for examining system entry & exit data at least quarterly to ensure that service provisions are updated in real time to improve outcomes. 2) In both provisions & outcomes of homeless assistance, the only disparity identified by the CoC is impoverished Hispanic populations are half as likely to access services as non-Hispanic persons. They are also half as likely to achieve a successful stable housing outcome.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	No
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	No
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	No
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		



1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The largest disparity in CoC outcomes & service provision is that Hispanic persons are less likely to access CoC services than non-Hispanic populations. Recognizing that Limited English Proficiency is one barrier to access that CoC can address, the CoC is translating CoC policy & CE documents to Spanish. Translated documents will be disseminated to local CoC/ESG projects & posted on the CoC's website. Each project will be working with the HMIS Administrator to review their project outcomes with a lens of equity. This will ensure that no population is more likely to access services or have a successful outcome than other populations. Projects will work with the DEI Committee to review system & project policies that may have led to inequitable outcomes. The DEI Committee is responsible for partnering with AON, the CoC's PWLE Committee, to survey PWLE of underserved populations about why they do or do not access CoC services. The CE Workgroup will use that feedback to update CE policies & procedures, especially in CE access. The CoC Advisory Board & CA will also use the feedback to direct CoC marketing of services & outreach to underserved populations & geographies

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC Advisory Board & CoC Planning Workgroup have been responsible for reviewing disparities in service provisions. In that work, they have been tracking equitability in access & outcomes of CoC services back to 2018. This has allowed for viewing how the data has changed over time. The DEI Committee, in partnership with the HMIS Administrator, is responsible for setting up benchmarks & monitoring processes for preventing & ending disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The CoC has involved PWLE through including them as participants in the: 1) CoC Advisory Board, 2) ESG Grants Review Committee, 3) Ranking & Review Committee 4) CE Workgroup, 5) DEI Committee, & 6) HMIS Advisory Board. Housing providers directly invited PWLE to join. CoC Advisory Board members & Ranking & Review Committee members that are PWLE receive a stipend. In 2022, a new grassroots initiative called the Alliance of Overlooked Neighbors (AON) was established. A formerly homeless individual envisioned AON to establish a platform for PWLE to take part in decision-making within the CoC & local government. AON's purpose is to A) increase representation of people with lived experience on community advisory boards, B) provide education on homelessness, & C) recruit new PWLE to join AON & the CoC. AON is co-chaired by two PWLE & the AON membership, which is majority PWLE, make decisions regarding AON. Since AON started, PWLE have attended the meetings & seven regular attend & make decisions. In January 2023, AON will become an official committee of the CoC & receive funding from the CoC Planning Grant.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	3	0
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	1	0
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	4	1
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	1	0
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	2	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

CoC Member organizations have provided the following opportunities: A) A CoC member organization provides employment opportunities & professional development for PWLE through hiring Peer Support Specialists. In Kansas, adults with lived experiences can achieve a free certification in peer support for mental health or substance abuse. Paid Peer Support Internships have been available through CSBG funding for PWLE for nine to eighteen weeks. Currently, the member organization is employing two certified Peer Support Specialists. B) Another agency provides supportive employment services to build skills for the job market for all participants receiving mental health services. C) Several agencies have case management &/or shelter staff with lived experience of homelessness in their ES, TH, & housing services. D) All agencies have PWLE on their advisory board or on their project advisory boards. E) Two agencies do in-house professional development classes which include resume building, interview practice & computer skills. F) All CoC projects can connect PWLE to the Workforce Center, the state-wide job training initiative.

1D-11c.	<b>Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.</b> NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and	
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) All programs independently gather feedback year-round through surveys & interviews from participants. Regardless of if the household exited successfully or back into homelessness, each project asks for feedback at the end of program. This feedback enhances project improvement. Projects share project feedback & complaints with the CoC via the CE workgroup, the general CoC meeting, or directly to the CoC Advisory Board. Additionally, each project has a PWLE on their advisory board or HUD-approved process to incorporate the feedback of PWLE into regular project improvement. The CoC Advisory Board currently has one member with lived experience to provide oversight of CoC activities. Beginning in 2023, there will be three seats for PWLE on the Board, each paid a stipend. The CoC will seek funding for these three Board Members to attend Kansas Leadership Center, a leadership-development initiative. Additionally, the CoC will incorporate the AON as a committee of the CoC. Their purpose will be to focus on recruiting persons with lived experience, providing them professional development, & incorporating their insight to each level of decision making in the CoC, especially in regard to their experience in receiving ESG & CoC assistance. 2) The CoC worked with the AON to expand drinking water access for homeless individuals, with twenty-five companies participating in the event as a part of National Hydration Awareness Month. An additional location for "Shower Up" (a mobile shower system) was provided weekly. The AON Committee received advice to include participant-level training on how to identify & obtain housing units, including approaching property managers, & reading rental agreements. AON is currently developing training to share with project staff & participants.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CA meets with WHA staff, elected officials, nonprofit developers, & private developers to discuss the needs of the unhoused in zoning & land use policies, especially regarding the development of affordable housing. The CoC has worked with the City of Wichita, financial institutions, & developers to draft a plan to use ARPA & HOME-ARP funds to increase the amount of, & funds for, affordable housing in Wichita/Sedgwick County. 2) CoC member agencies have been addressing housing issues with elected & non-elected officials including the City of Wichita (Mayor & City Council Representatives), Sedgwick County (Council Representative), the Housing Authority, Homeless Outreach Team of WPD OR & State Representatives. The CoC & CoC member agencies meet at least monthly with these entities to address affordable housing & homelessness. This group continues to work in developing a plan to add temporary housing with "PalletShelter.com," & continue to reduce housing barriers.

## 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC’s local competition.	08/12/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	No

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.  
 Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	13
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

- Describe in the field below:
- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;   |
| 2. | how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;  |
| 3. | how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and |
| 4. | considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.  |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC used HMIS to collect data for referral housing projects. Data from the projects most recently completed APR (projects funded through FY2019 NOFA) & additional data from HMIS, such as the rate projects utilized CE by household was used to analyze project performance. In particular, projects were analyzed based on: A) the amount spent per successful household exit or stay in PH (which considered the difference between PSH, TH-RRH, & RRH costs to exit), B) the utilization of proposed units, C) the amount of participants enrolled against the amount of successful exits or housing placements, D) the amount of participants that returned to homelessness within the last 12 months, & E) increases of earned & non-earned participant income. 2) Each project's APR data & HMIS data was used to calculate the length of time between household enrollment & household move-in date. The standard length of time for RRH projects was 15 days, TH projects was 180 days, & PSH projects was 25 days. 3) RRH & TH-RRH projects were given additional points if: A) they served 5% or more CH participants, B) they served populations with increased barriers (substance abuse, mental health, youth, DV), C) 90% or more participants entered with no or very low income, & D) they served 30% or more participants that were unsheltered at entry. PSH projects were given additional points if: A) they served 20% or more CH participants, B) 90% or more participants entered with no or very low income, C) 30% or more participants were unsheltered at entry, & D) 80% or more participants had more than one major barrier to retaining PH, including physical, mental, developmental, behavioral disabilities & substance abuse disorder. 4) No projects received bonus points because of the population they served. However, the Ranking & Review Committee, made up of four non-CoC funded homeless service providers, two people with lived experience of homelessness within the last three years, & three other non-profit executives could change the rank of projects, disregarding each projects final score, because of lower performance levels caused by harder to serve populations. However, the Ranking & Review Committee did not alter the ranking based on this criterion.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
Describe in the field below:		
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Advisory Board, which includes a Laotian woman & a PWLE, provided the only input regarding the Ranking & Review Process. The Board advised reinstating the Ranking & Review Committee & using an objective tool to review projects. 2) The CoC chose to use the tool provided by HUD. The CA determined the final rating factors & scores in alignment with the stock numbers HUD provided. 3) The CoC Ranking & Review Committee included a Black woman, the most overrepresented demographic in the local homeless population, & two people with lived experience of homelessness in the last two years. The Committee had nine total members. Initially, the Committee had another Black woman & another PWLE, but they were unable to participate with the final ranking & review process. 4) During site monitoring, each project was assessed for compliance with the current anti-discrimination policy; their site monitoring overall score was part of their CoC project score. The Ranking & Review Committee assessed each project based on a questionnaire outside of eSNAPS for their existing relational process for including feedback from PWLE & if underrepresented individuals. Each project described how they included BIPOC & PWLE persons in managerial & leadership positions. Each project committed to reviewing their internal policies & procedures with an equity lens, considering PWLE feedback annually. Each project also committed to review disaggregated data with the HMIS Lead quarterly. Each project also committed to developing & implementing equitable policies in partnership with the CoC to ensure they do not impose undue barriers to housing for underserved populations.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Per the CoC Governance Charter, projects that score below sixty-five on a hundred-point site monitoring are eligible for reallocation if the agency does not correct their deficiencies within three months. Any agency may voluntarily reallocate all or a portion of their award during the local competition. The Ranking & Review Committee also reserves the right to: A) reject projects that fall below Tier 2, B) adjust rankings of projects based on community need for the project, regardless of performance or projected performance, & C) reallocate funds in whole or part from other underperforming or underspent project(s) to new project(s). 2) Yes. 3) There was only one new project; it requested less funding than was in any of the existing projects eligible for reallocation. The Ranking & Review Committee chose to reallocate a portion of one project's request that was historically unspent to the new project.



1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/14/2022

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/14/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/27/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC’s website or partner’s website.	09/27/2022
--	---	------------

## 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>2A-1.</b>	<b>HMIS Vendor.</b>	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Eccovia, Inc.
--	--	---------------

<b>2A-2.</b>	<b>HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.</b>	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	--	------------

<b>2A-3.</b>	<b>HIC Data Submission in HDX.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

<b>2A-4.</b>	<b>Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD’s comparable database requirements; and
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC & HMIS Lead established an additional HMIS grant for providing a HMIS-comparable database & follows the 2022 HMIS Data Standards, including collecting data elements & reporting de-identified, aggregate system performance measures to the CoC, ESG Recipient, & HMIS Lead. Eccovia, Inc. updates & administers the database. The HMIS Lead offers the HMIS-DV database to every VSP in the CoC for use, free of charge & continues outreach efforts to bring more VSP's into the HMIS-DV database. The SSO-CE Lead has been working with those agencies that enter data into a comparable database to improve the CE process to ensure that survivors have rapid access to CE & housing provided through CE. 2) The CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	339	62	262	94.58%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	216	88	90	70.31%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	134	0	134	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	562	0	562	100.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	474	0	165	34.81%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) TH: The two TH projects not currently in HMIS are TH beds provided by a VSP & TH beds provided by a non-federally funded program for homeless men. In the past year, both projects were engaged by the CA & HMIS Lead to use the CoC's comparable HMIS or HMIS, respectively. The CoC will take the following steps to increase TH bed coverage in HMIS: A) re-engage with both TH providers with a demo of HMIS & the benefits it will have for their participants, including access to CE, B) discover the reasons both providers have not chosen to use the free databases, C) resolve any issues that are cause the providers to not use the databases &/or find a compromise, & D) plan& execute next steps to integrate the projects into HMIS, if possible.

OPH: The two OPH projects that are not currently covered by the HMIS are the WHA's EHV's & HPPs (homeless preference – Housing Choice Vouchers). Both voucher types can only be accessed through CE using HMIS, but currently are not enrollments in HMIS. In the interest in providing these vouchers to as many households as possible & limited staffing at WHA, HMIS enrollments were not required at the outset of both programs. To include them in HMIS, the CoC will: A) set up projects for both vouchers in HMIS, B) increase the number of WHA staff that can access HMIS & input enrollment data, & C) provide training to WHA staff on using HMIS for enrollments & exits.

2) TH: The CA, HMIS Administrator, & HMIS-DV Lead will work collaboratively to enact the steps laid out above within the next 12 months.

OPH: The CoC will implement these steps via a new MOU signed with the CoC & the WHA for the future PIH SVs. The new project set up & staff access/training will occur prior to the HIC in January 2023.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

## 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	02/23/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/06/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:	
	1. engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;	
	2. involved homeless youth in the actual count; and	
	3. worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The PIT Count Workgroup included a PWLE that had been homeless youth, RHY funded youth-oriented OR Staff, & the ES & day shelter that serves homeless youth, WCH. These stakeholders helped design & approve survey questions that were an appropriate reading level to ask youth & trauma-informed subject matter. 2) The PWLE, RHY-funded OR Staff & youth-centric ES staff each went to specific locations where homeless youth had previously stayed. 3) The PIT Count used a known-locations count methodology, including going to an equal number of places that had not been identified as known-locations. McKinney-Vento staff were informed of the count & of the procedure to assist with the count. These known locations were identified by WPD OR, which assist with the community's Safe Place program, & the RHY-funded OR staff as locations homeless youth were known to stay.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
	4. state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Because of the January-February COVID-19 surge, there was a shortage of HMIS-trained users at the largest ES, HumanKind Ministries. The CoC chose to allow that provider to collect participant data via participant surveys with volunteers instead of through HMIS to ensure a complete census of participants accessing their emergency winter shelter & year-round shelter. The count also took place in February rather than in January due to a surge of COVID-19 cases in January. 2) No unsheltered count was conducted in 2021. 3) The methodology change did not affect the results, as the same populations were counted but in different ways. 4) Not applicable.

## 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>2C-1.</b>	<b>Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC determines risk factors of first-time homelessness through provider input, 2-1-1 caller self-reported needs, & HMIS assessment data. Top risk factors included loss of job with inability to find employment for an extended period, substance abuse disorder, mental illness, domestic violence, no transportation, & single parents with no local supports. Other risk factors included emergencies that claimed set aside rental money, wage loss due to illness, inflation, & incarceration of a parent. 2) Frontline ES & Homeless Prevention (HP) staff serve participants on a first come, first serve basis as they either self-refer or are referred by community nonprofit organizations. HP strategies include: A) Working collaboratively with the WHA to set the ESG funding category percentages which has emphasized HP, B) Using diversion screening & rapid resolution as tools to assess needs & connect participants to resources (family reunification & mediation, rent/utility assistance, transportation, state ID, & employment assistance), C) advocating during the City of Wichita’s five-year consolidated plan development process for an increased allocation of funds for HP, D) identifying households that have high usage of rental & utility assistance in HMIS & connecting them to mainstream resources, & E) Collecting data in aggregate to provide an overview of current risk factors for households to become unhoused. 3) UWP as CE & HMIS Lead is responsible for overseeing the number of households that are first time homeless & identifying households that are at risk of becoming homeless in partnership with HP agencies.



<b>2C-2.</b>	<b>Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) In 2021, the average length of time households were homeless was 84 days; in 2022, the CoC reduced the length of time homeless to 71 days, a 15% decrease. While this length of time homeless is still higher than the pre-pandemic LOT homeless of 56 days, the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time persons are homeless is still working to reduce the length of time homeless. This strategy includes: A) committing as a community to CE & ensuring that persons are referred to the most appropriate housing resource based on their assessments, B) developing providers as CE access points, C) Continuing Case Conferencing, especially for participants who have high service needs & don't always frequent the same programs day to day, C) tracking CE success weekly, D) identifying CE bottlenecks created through policy & changing policy through the CE Workgroup, & E) increasing landlord engagement so that households can be rapidly placed into housing. This year's application is seeking to address system bottlenecks through an expansion of the SSO-CE project that will add an additional CE Specialist to do more enrollments & increase access & creating a mobile CE access point team that includes a PWLE who's experience will allow them to build relationships with the most underserved population, unhoused & unsheltered households. As an additional strategy to reduce LOT homeless, the CE specialist will also engage the property owners through a Landlord Engagement Committee of the CoC which will have property owners as members. 2) Our CoC identifies households with the longest length of time homeless by recording the day they become homeless in HMIS as part of their CE enrollment. Households with the longest length of time homeless are prioritized through CE for housing & houses households with the longest length of time homeless by CE priority & their VI-SPDAT score. 3) The CE & HMIS Lead, UWP, is responsible for overseeing CE & the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time someone remains homeless.

<b>2C-3.</b>	<b>Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	

3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.
----	---

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC's strategies to increase the rate of participants exiting to PH include: A) Ongoing CE Case Conferencing for participants in ES & TH & those on the streets, B) Using HPPs & EHV's to expand the housing resources available to projects, C) Recruiting property owners & managers that are willing to work with project participants through landlord engagement events & marketing the benefits of participants backed with project rental assistance & case manager(s), D) assisting households with applying for mainstream services & jobs skills to increase unearned & earned income, E) seeking additional cross-agency CE & housing navigation case management, especially for the hardest-to-serve participants, & D) establishing the rate that families exit to PH as a rating factor during the Ranking & Review process & site monitoring.

2) The CoC's strategies to retain PH or exit to PH include: A) assisting households with applying for mainstream services through SOAR & jobs skills through CoC partners to increase unearned & earned income, B) connecting participants to ongoing case management, including substance abuse, mental health, & physical health, C) educating households on financial literacy, debt reduction, savings, & payee services through partner agencies, D) building relationships between the participant & their landlord while in project services, E) gradually increasing participant rent contributions to prepare them for independence, & F) transitioning participants to HPPs & other mainstream rental assistance vouchers to ensure long-term stability in the unit.

3) The CA, HMIS & CE Lead are responsible for overseeing Coordinated Entry & the CoC's strategy to increase the rate individuals & families exit to or retain permanent housing.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Day shelters, ES, OR, & helplines serve as a first access point following loss of housing. Part of participant intake is a diversion screen with everyone encountered, which includes questions to identify if a person is returning to homelessness. HMIS data also allows for providers to screen if a participant was homeless within the last two years. Screening assists with identifying reason(s) that housing was lost & levels of service to maintain future housing. CE Case Conferences also identify persons who return to homelessness & factors impacting recidivism. 2) CoC recidivism reduction strategies include: A) matching participant need to the appropriate housing type & collaborating with participants to choose a suitable housing option, B) rapidly referring participants to supportive & on-going services; C) informing participants of tenant rights & responsibilities, D) training project staff in landlord-participant mediation, including the signs project staff should look out for that require early intervention, E) understanding lease commitments, paying rent on time, neighbor etiquette & building landlord/tenant relationships, F) hosting events to foster positive relationships & communication among property managers, participants & provider staff, G) inviting property managers to share experiences & lessons learned at CoC meetings, H) increasing participant income & access to supportive resources while in PH projects & after housing, I) emphasizing financial literacy to foster more informed, responsible budget choices, & J) assessing projects based on their participant's returns to homelessness during site monitoring & during Ranking & Review. 3) The HMIS & CE Lead & CA, partnership with the CoC Advisory Board, are responsible for updating & overseeing the CoC's housing retention strategies.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
	2. describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
	3. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources include: A) The CoC sharing events & employment opportunities through the CoC list serve & via CoC meetings, B) Workforce Center (mainstream employment readiness program), local social service agencies, & private employers providing notice of job fairs & employment opportunities to homeless providers to increase employment, C) Homeless providers providing access to employment development trainings, technology for employment search, & individualized employment support, D) Homeless providers seeking PWLE, including former participants, for staff, E) A CoC partner agency using COVID ARPA funds to provide mini-grants to small businesses to hire marginalized (including homeless) adults, reimbursing the business for the first thirteen weeks of work, & E) Engaging public & private employers to increase access to job readiness, interview & job search assistance, employment opportunities, job coaching, & long-term support for job maintenance. 2) The CoC engages the Workforce Center & other employers to present at CoC meetings to increase awareness of opportunities for unhoused persons & available resources. Workforce Center staff have presented to both the CoC & to individual agencies about increasing job readiness & building formerly homeless participant skillsets to increase cash income. One program of the Workforce Center, Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP), connects eligible seniors to employment while paying their wages on behalf of the company. The Workforce Center regularly attends CoC meetings & has helped the Youth Workgroup develop strategies to engage homeless youth in job readiness & education opportunities to increase cash income. Another agency, Breakthrough, has expanded their capacity to train participants in job-ready skills with an expanded job-training center. 3) Currently, UWP is overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase income from employment. However, there is a group of providers interested in forming a workgroup to tackle increasing workforce opportunities for homeless persons & formerly homeless persons.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) CoC agencies share mainstream benefit program changes, events & opportunities through the CoC listserv to connect to mainstream benefits, such as SSI, SSDI, & TANF. The CoC regularly has TANF & SNAPs employees present to the CoC, including CoC case managers, on how to connect project participants to those benefits. The CoC also coordinates at least annually for a SOAR provider to speak to the CoC about how they can serve participants & how case managers can become SOAR certified. While Kansas has not expanded Medicaid, the CoC facilitates training from the state's three Medicaid providers & health insurance access nonprofits, like Project Access, for project staff. 2) The CA is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

### 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>3A-1.</b>	<b>New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

<b>3A-2.</b>	<b>New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

<b>3A-3.</b>	<b>Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.</b>	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	
	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

### 3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<b>3B-1.</b>	<b>Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

<b>3B-2.</b>	<b>Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.</b>	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

### 3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

## 4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	<b>Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?</b>	No
<b>Applicant Name</b>		
This list contains no items		